

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			vvuoi ii igio	n, D.O. 20201	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAM	MED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/987,380	12/09/97	INOUE		M	Q48500
			7 [EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MIO	N ZINN MACE	HM12/091' 'FAK % SEAS)	WANG.S	
2100 PENNSYI				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON I	DC 20037-32	:02		1617 DATE MAILED:	J
					09/19/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	08/987,380	INOUE ET AL.					
·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shengjun Wang	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the c ver sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s				
THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2001 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to n places the application	o a n in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Offi	iate extension ice action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 September 2001</u>.37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			orth in				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simpl	ifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed am	endment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT p	lace the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were ne	ewly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-7,10,11 and 13</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16-18.							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disapp	oved by the Examiner	•.				
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:		RUSSEM TRAVERS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the prior ofice action. Particularly, the new reference cited in the final rejection is neccessitated by the new limitation in the claims introduced by the amendments. Regarding the remarks about pesticide and fertilizer, note both pesticides and fertilizers are well-known agrichemicals, a coating system which render the agrichemicals the desirable properties such as stability in natural environment s, controll release, etc. would have been reasonably expected to be similarly useful for both agent. Regarding the motivation to combine the teaching, as stated in the last office action, coating made by procedure of Burger et al. is known to be stable, resistant to frost and provide sustained release of active ingredient..